1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 MOHAMMED HOSSAIN, 8 Plaintiff, NO. C08-1487 MJP 9 MINUTE ORDER 10 **EXPEDITORS INTERNATIONAL OF** WASHINGTON, INC., et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable Marsha J. 14 Pechman, United States District Judge: 15 This matter came before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order. 16 (Dkt. No. 2.) The Court reviewed the motion, Defendants' response (Dkt. No. 10), Plaintiff's reply 17 (Dkt. No. 13), and all materials submitted in support thereof, and then requested argument from the 18 parties. After hearing argument on Tuesday, October 14, 2008, the Court GRANTED the motion. 19 This Minute Order memorializes the Court's oral ruling. 20 (1) In granting injunctive relief, the Court maintains the status quo – the state of affairs that 21 existed before the challenged behavior began. For eight years, Plaintiff was able to fulfill his prayer 22 obligations in his workspace until the challenged prohibition took effect in May 2008. 23 (2) The Court further finds that Plaintiff has demonstrated a right to injunctive relief. <u>See</u> 24 Cassim v. Bowen, 824 F.2d 791, 795 (9th Cir. 1987) ("[T]he moving party may meet its burden by 25 26 MINUTE ORDER - 1

1	demonstrating that serious questions are raised and the balance of hardships tips sharply in its
2	favor."). Mr. Hossain raises serious questions concerning religious freedom and employment. The
3	Court finds that the possible injury to Defendants, described in terms of a violation of office policy, i
4	negligible compared to the possible harm to Mr. Hossain. Without injunctive relief, Defendants'
5	prohibition would force Mr. Hossain to neglect either his religious obligations or his job
6	responsibilities.
7	(3) Finally, the Court finds that Defendants have not offered Mr. Hossain a reasonable
8	accommodation to fulfill his religious obligations. After prohibiting Mr. Hossain from praying at the
9	workplace, Defendants offered no accommodation whatsoever. Mr. Hossain, on his own initiative,
10	determined that he would leave work during his lunch hour to fulfill his noontime prayer obligations.
11	Now that Mr. Hossain is formally challenging the prohibition, Defendants have stated that they will
12	allow Mr. Hossain flexibility to leave the office up to three times a day to pray off-site. Based on the
13	evidence on record, the Court finds this accommodation unreasonable because it negatively impacts
14	Mr. Hossain's employment opportunities. Though Mr. Hossain's prayer sessions last fewer than ten
15	minutes, the offered accommodation would require Mr. Hossain to be absent from the workplace for
16	an extended period when he would otherwise be performing his job responsibilities.
17	Date: October 15, 2008
18	BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk
19	By /s/ Mary Duett
20	Deputy Clerk
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	